

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; )  
ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware )  
corporation; and ORACLE )  
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a )  
California corporation; )

2:10-CV-00106-LRH-PAL

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada )  
corporation; SETH RAVIN, an individual; )

Defendants.

Before the court is defendants Rimini Street, Inc. (“Rimini”) and Seth Ravin’s (“Ravin”) (collectively “defendants”) conditional cross-motion for reconsideration. Doc. #916.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.; Oracle America, Inc.; and Oracle International Corporation (collectively “Oracle”) filed an opposition to the motion (Doc. #955) to which defendants replied (Doc. #978).

Defendants filed the present conditional cross-motion seeking reconsideration of all the court’s evidentiary rulings in the event that (1) plaintiff Oracle files a motion for a new trial, and (2) the court grants the motion. *See* Doc. #916. In their motion, defendants specifically state that they are not seeking a new trial. Rather, defendants condition the present motion on Oracle first

<sup>1</sup> Refers to the court’s docket number.

1 filing a motion for new trial. Plaintiff Oracle did not file a motion for new trial. Therefore,  
2 defendants' conditional cross-motion is moot and the court shall deny it accordingly.

3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' conditional cross-motion for  
4 reconsideration (Doc. #916) is DENIED as moot.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED this 8th day of February, 2016.



---

LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE